

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,861	03/16/2001	Tsafrir S. Mor	BTI-45	6166
20808	7590 10/03/2002			
BROWN & MICHAELS, PC			EXAMINER	
400 M & T BANK BUILDING 118 NORTH TIOGA ST			HELMER, GEORGIA L	
ITHACA, NY	14850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	K

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/810,861	MOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Georgia L. Helmer	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum status - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprincation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHIS IN STATUTE COURSE ARA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>16 March 2001</i> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign langu 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional application has bee	en received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	9-948) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/810,861

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, 13 and 14 drawn to plants comprising a polynucleotide encoding a human acetylcholinesterase classified in class 800, subclass 295.
 - II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to methods of making a plant expressing human acetylcholinesterase, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - III. Claim 10, drawn to method of use of a plant expressing human acetylcholinesterase, classified in class 800, subclass 278.
 - IV. Claim 11 and 12, drawn to isolated polynucleotides comprising a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 1 through SEQ ID NO: 5, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be used as biomass, for energy production.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Application/Control Number: 09/810,861

Art Unit: 1638

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case (1) the process for using the transgenic plant can used to produce acetylcholinesterase.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are transgenic plants, which are complex biological organisms, and nucleic acids, which are biochemical substances, and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.
- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects—the methods have different starting materials, use different steps, and produce different products.
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as

claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product—the polynucleotides can be used as templates for in vitro expression systems.

- 7. Inventions IV and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, DNA and methods of using a transgenic plant cannot be used together and they are chemical substances compared to complex biochemical organisms.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Georgia L. Helmer whose telephone number is 703-308-7023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,861

Art Unit: 1638

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Georgia L. Helmer PhD

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1638

September 29, 200

PHUONG T. BUI

PRIMARY EXAMINER